

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/365,342	07/30/1999	ELIZABETH G. HETZLER	G-305	1665
PAUL W ZIMMERMAN K1 53 BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE P O BOX 999 RICHLAND, WA 99352			EXAMINER	
			HAVAN, THU THAO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	
Monday, V	WI		DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
··	09/365,342	HETZLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thu-Thao Havan	2672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply received by the office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	<u>1ay 2004</u> .	•			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-11 and 13-22 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraws 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 6-11, and 13-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any accomplicated any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the specific part of the specific par	cepted or b) objected to by the Education of the depth of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See the drawing (s) is objected if the drawing (s) is objected in the drawing (s) is objected in the drawing (s) is objected in the drawing (s) is objected to by the Education of the drawing (s) is objected to by the Education of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, 	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-4, 6-11, and 13-22 are pending in the present application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 26, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As addressed below, Cox et al. teaches the claimed limitations.

Cox teaches mapping is by two-way document/topic iteration logic (col. 13, lines 32 to col. 14, line 30). As claimed in the limitation, mapping is by two-way document or topic iteration logic. In particular, Cox teaches topic iteration logic by disclosing determining callback function. The callback function is a type of topic iteration logic since it's used to check a flag, which is indicated whether a particular function is done. For example, Cox discloses the first of these callbacks to be performed occurs when the windowing system main loop function does its own initialization, which causes the windowing system objects to be initialized and the window to appear on the display monitor. This in turn causes the OnWindowCreate callback function of each application code object to be called as represented. The only object with an OnWindowCreate function is the GlobeDrawer object. In that, the application code consists of initialization code and a number of callback functions. The program performs the initialization and then turns control over to the windowing system. The windowing system reacts to user inputs by invoking the callback functions of the application code.

Art Unit: 2672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-4, 6-11, and 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Cox (US patent no. 5,751,931).

Re claim 1, Cox teaches a method of visualizing a relationship between at least two entities (figs. 8 and 11), having the steps of: (a) mapping the at least two entities onto a surface (figs. 13a-13c); (b) providing a relationship record for each of the at least two entities (col. 3, lines 48-67; col. 5, lines 52-67; figs. 4-6); (c) generating a display of the at least two entities together with at least one connector between the at least two entities for said visualizing said relationship from said relationship record (col. 9, lines 4-11; col. 5, lines 52-67; figs. 8 and 11); (d) said connector having two ends connected to a pair of said at least two entities, said connector having an extension between said two ends, said extension passing out of said surface, said connector having a plurality of strands wherein each of said plurality of strands corresponds to each of a plurality of relationships (col. 9, lines 33-41; col. 12, lines 32-46; figs. 13a-13c and 19); and (e) Cox teaches mapping is by two-way document/topic iteration logic (col. 13, lines 32 to col. 14, line 30). In other words, Cox teaches the nodes and arcs are displayed with their three dimensional characteristics used to encode data attributes. The use of three

Art Unit: 2672

dimensional allows multiple glyphs to be associated with each node and link. Several arcs can connect two nodes. Each arc is made up of a series of line segments connecting some number N of points. The number of points will be determined by the total length of the arc, so as to create a smooth-appearing curve. In that an arc can lie below the surface of the globe. If arcs are to be displayed below the surface, then the globe must be translucent so that the arcs will still be visible. In addition, the arcs which are located further from the viewer are dimmed using fog effects. This is a depth-cueing effect that makes more distant objects appear dimmer and thus makes the three-dimensional structure more apparent.

Re claim **2**, Cox teaches at least one of said plurality of strands passes out of said surface on one side of said surface and another of said plurality of strands passes out of said surface on an opposite side of said surface (col.2, lines 22-35; fig. 21). In figure 21, Cox discloses the plurality of strands passes from one surface to another surface.

Re claim 3, Cox teaches each strand is distinguished from other strand(s) by a geometric gap therebetween (<u>figs. 13a-13c and 19</u>). In other words, Cox teaches the three dimension provides a means for displaying any number of arcs and allows the user, via interactive control of the viewpoint, to separate the arcs so they do not appear to cross in the display.

Re claim 4, Cox teaches each strand has an arc height (<u>col. 8, lines 25-47; fig. 8</u>). Cox discloses in figure 8 the link statistics are represented by arcs, such as arc 814, which connect the capitals of the countries. The arcs touch the globe at each end and

Art Unit: 2672

reach maximal height in the center. This height is used to encode the link statistic, with greater heights representing larger values.

Re claim **6**, Cox teaches each strand is further distinguished with a texture (<u>col.</u> <u>13, lines 33-45; col. 6, lines 42-47</u>). Cox teaches texture mapping in conjunction with the animation. The display may be animated to sequentially display data relating to different time frames.

Re claims **7-11**, Cox teaches texture is selected from the group consisting of line type, line weight, color, display frequency, and combination thereof as recited in the claims (col. 12, lines 6-11; col. 9, lines 1-11).

Re claim **13**, Cox teaches plurality of strands is displayed as said plurality of strands (figs. 8, 11, 13a-13c and 19).

Re claim **14**, Cox teaches at least two entities are clusters of members, said clusters having centroids, and said connector connects said centroids (<u>col. 9</u>, <u>lines 43-52</u>). Cox discloses the arcs touch the globe at each end and reach maximal height in the center.

Re claim **15**, Cox teaches substrands extend from each of said members to said connector connecting said centroids (<u>col. 6</u>, <u>line 50 to col. 7</u>, <u>line 15</u>). In other word, Cox teaches Point P is located at spherical coordinates which is the distance of P from the center O of the sphere. The line PT through P perpendicular to the X-Y plane intersects that plane at a point T.

Re claims **16-17**, Cox teaches positioning said display and positioning is selected from the group consisting of rotate, pan, zoom and combinations thereof (<u>col. 8</u>, <u>lines</u>

Art Unit: 2672

16-31). Cox teaches the user may vary the phi and theta coordinates of the viewpoint by dragging the mouse while pressing the left mouse button. Dragging the mouse to the right or left increases or decreases theta, while dragging the mouse up and down increases or decreases phi. The effect produced by this mousing action is that mouse motions rotate the globe, allowing it to be viewed from any angle. A modification of this polar-viewing model which would allow users to zoom in on portions of the globe could be readily implemented.

Re claims **18-19**, Cox teaches strands shown on one side of the surface indicate values exceed an upper threshold of a test and the strands on the other side of the surface indicate values lower than a low threshold for said test (col. 11, line 1 to col. 12, line 46).

Re claims **20-21**, Cox teaches a user action may cause the display of all relationships corresponding to a given relationship type and a directionality of a relationship is indicated by line type (col. 11, line 39 to col. 12, line 46). In other words, Cox discloses the user controls the displaying of the glyphs.

Re claim **22**, Cox teaches a user action may cause the display of either a single strand, aggregate strand, or multitextured strands (col. 8, lines 6-15).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2672

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu-Thao Havan whose telephone number is (703) 308-7062. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Art Unit: 2672

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

TTH

Art Unit: 2672

JEFFERY BENER PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 8